1 | Charter?

THE WITNESS: Sorry, at Charter.

MR. PHILLIPS: I haven't asked you about that. But let's just stay in it for a second. When you tried to take the Golf Channel -- you had thought about reducing the subscription levels of the Golf Channel while you were at Charter, did you not, sir?

THE WITNESS: It was part of a negotiation. And when we started that negotiation, and Comcast started messaging to the consumers, I was amazed at the level of consumer backlash for any indication that we might move the Golf or Versus Channels.

I got hundreds of thousands of phone calls and thousands of emails, such then when I actually did lead the renewals for the Comcast Networks Charter starting a year ago, and those networks are well established at the expanded basic tier.

The question in the current negotiation is not "Should the be

A -- that kind of a response.

Q And sir, can you tell me, did you like it when the Golf Channel ran that and you were in charge of distribution at Charter?

A No.

Q Let me ask -- may I approach, Your Honor?

JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. State the purpose while you're walking.

MR. PHILLIPS: That's a good question, Your Honor. I'd like to show the witness an exhibit. And this has previously been marked, and I think received into evidence, as Tennis Channel Exhibit 38.

Before I forget, I want to ask a logistical question. But on this exhibit, Comcast 120, as best I was able to determine from a preliminary look, and Mr. Carroll more or less confirmed this, there is a redact on the last page, a considerable redact on the last page.

And I don't see a redact any other place in

1	the	document.
	Ì	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

MR. CARROLL: Your Honor, I think the lawyers need to confer and make sure there's no numbers that need to be redacted.

And we will do that --

JUDGE SIPPEL: So that's fine.

MR. CARROLL: -- expeditiously.

JUDGE SIPPEL: My only question was -- okay, that answers my question, I guess. And I was wondering why there would be the highly confidential information left on the first page if the redacting had already

MR. CARROLL: I'm not --

JUDGE SIPPEL: But obviously it

16 has to be checked to see --

been done.

MR. CARROLL: I'm anxious, and I want to confirm that it's correct. I don't think it will be a big deal to just make sure that there are no numbers, and then we'll -
JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, don't rush

it. We'll take it up tomorrow.

MR. SCHMIDT: Actually, I think it's broader than that, in the sense that these are some of the most sensitive documents that the company has, these contracts, in terms of the terms in the contracts.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

MR. SCHMIDT: To me, the better course is to keep the whole document highly confidential. If we need to go through it -- it's going to be a lot more extensive than the redactions, but I think these core contract documents, I suspect Comcast would feel the same way about the Golf and Versus affiliation agreements.

They're just some of the most sensitive documents from a competitive point of view that exist within the companies. And really, in many ways, the reason why we had this highly confidential process in the first instance.

MR. PHILLIPS: And if I may, Your Honor, in addition to that, it also implicates

third party rights. I mean, it's not just the fact that it's -- it's not just between the two of us.

We'd also have to get the consent, in this instance, of Charter, in order to make that document public. And Mr. Rigdon is no longer there, so we can't count on him to do it.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, I see. At

Charter -- Charter is identified down on the

first page. All right. So basically, the

position of both parties is that this stays

out of the public record in toto.

MR. CARROLL: I don't disagree with that, Your Honor. I think that's a -Mr. Schmidt's made a fair point. It is sensitive commercial information for the purpose -- for the reason that, if the world knew what one party's contract terms were precisely, it would affect -- it could affect negotiations with other parties who otherwise would not have that type of information.

Page 1899 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. 1 2 MR. CARROLL: Thank you. 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. Αt least that clarifies it. Thank you. 4 5 MR. CARROLL: Thank you for 6 following up. 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: There we go. 8 MR. PHILLIPS: Mr. Rigdon, I have 9 put before you Exhibit Tennis Channel 38, 10 which is a Comcast document. The first page 11 is an email between two Comcast Employees, and 12 the second page is an exhibit that says 13 "Charter and Comcast, Charter Negotiations June 18th, 2007." 14 15 And what's followed by that looks 16 like some sort of analysis or slide deck. 17 Have you seen this document before? 18 THE WITNESS: I have. 19 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 20 Q And was this something that was 21 presented to you or developed with you when 22 you were at Charter?

	Page 1902
1	Do you see that, sir?
2	A I do.
3	Q And this was a concern that, in
4	fact, Comcast had expressed to you about the
5	proposal to narrow the distribution of Golf
6	and Versus. Is that correct, sir?
7	A That is correct.
8	Q And you agreed that this was a
9	concern, didn't you, sir?
10	A In my position at Charter, I'm not
11	sure that that was something that I was
12	worried about.
13	Q But you well, let's go back to
14	your deposition again. And I'm going to ask
15	you this time to turn to page 114. And my
16	colleague, Ms. Pogoriler, was actually,
17	turn to 113. The question starts the page
18	before.
19	A Okay.
20	Q And I'm going to start line 21,
21	and this is when my colleague was asking you
22	about this very same document. Do you recall

programmer gives a concession to a distributor that's visible, such as retiering rights, it's going to be used by my colleagues at the other distributors in that negotiation as something

21

1	
	Page 1904
1	that they want."
2	Do you see that, sir?
3	A I do.
4	Q You gave that testimony, didn't
5	you, sir?
6	A I did.
7	Q And you were telling the truth
8	when you gave that testimony, weren't you,
9	sir?
10	A Sure.
11	Q Your Honor, I don't have any
12	further questions. Thank you.
13	MR. OSHINSKY: We have no
14	questions, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Redirect?
16	MR. CARROLL: The briefest. If I
17	may, Your Honor?
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Please.
19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20	MR. CARROLL: Mr. Phillips asked
21	you some questions about your experience at
22	Charter in connection with a situation where

Page 1905 1 there was a possibility that -- was it Golf 2 Channel? -- would no longer appear on a broad 3 distribution. Is that what the reference was? 4 THE WITNESS: Correct. 5 BY MR. CARROLL 6 Q And I just want to be clear. not clear to me the record was clear on this. 7 8 And that happened while you were at Charter. And did it happen in connection with your negotiations with Golf Network? 10 11 Α In 2007, yes. 12 2007? 0 13 Α Yes. 14 Q And what happened was that Golf Channel ran -- what's the word for that bar 15 16 that appears on the TV at the bottom 17 sometimes? 18 The term of art is a crawl Α 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: A what? 20 THE WITNESS: A crawl. It's --21 JUDGE SIPPEL: C-R-A-W-L? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's text that

	Page 1906
1	crawls across the bottom of the screen. Like
2	a weather warning, for example, is a crawl.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: But those are
4	usually on the top of the screen.
5	THE WITNESS: Fair point.
6	MR. CARROLL: I think we've
7	established one thing. The glossary that we
8	submitted on both sides is wholly deficient.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: In the world of
10	glossaries, this is really not a qualifier, if
11	there is such a world.
12	MR. CARROLL: So was there a crawl
13	that was run at the bottom of the Golf
14	Channel's screen at that time?
15	THE WITNESS: From time to time,
16	yes.
17	BY MR. CARROLL:
18	Q Okay. And what was it urging
19	people to do?
20	A To contact Charter.
21	Q To do what?
22	A To express their support for the

1	distribution?
_	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

- A Absolutely. My field leadership completely panicked, asked me to get a deal done. Absolutely.
- Q And how about emails. Were there any emails that were going on?
 - A I personally got hundreds of emails. In fact, I had to call the IT department and have them redirect it into separate folders so that I could continue to work.
 - Q And what was --
- A We were shocked by the consumer outpouring.
- 15 Q So what happened? After all that
 16 happened, did you go forward and take Golf
 17 Channel down off of the broad distribution?
- 18 A We did not. Absolutely not
- 19 Q And what was your takeaway from 20 that experience?
- 21 A Be very, very careful when you 22 reposition or try to take content away from

And Mr. Phillips also asked you

22

Q

Okay. Could you explain that to

21

22

matter.

Q

his Honor, so it's clear why it was you would be considering this issue while you were there, after the contract had been signed?

A I had latitude to carry it on more highly penetrated tiers. I had latitude to delete it where we were carrying it. I had latitude to launch it in markets where we weren't carrying it.

So I had a lot of flexibility to manage that relationship.

- Q And was it in that connection that you were meeting with Tennis Channel people periodically?
 - A That's exactly right.
- Q And in those meetings, were the

 Tennis Channel people urging you to give them

 broader distribution?
 - A Absolutely.
- Q Sort of similar to this experience, in the sense that Comcast is being urged to give broader distribution?
 - A Without the litigation, yes.

Page 1912 1 Q Okay. Last thing, only to clarify. You can put that to the side. 2 3 It happened very quickly, and I 4 just want to clear up the record. There was 5 a question about whether your seeking under a deal, if it was done, for broader 6 7 distribution, whether your position is that it would be for free. 8 9 Do you remember the word free was 10 used? 11 I do. Α 12 Could you just follow up and 13 explain what you meant by that? Did you mean that you wouldn't be paying Tennis Channel 14 15 anything for the programming? 16 Absolutely not. 17 Okay. What did you mean by that? 18 Α I meant that for distribution 19 beyond the subscribers that currently receive 20 the Tennis Channel in the sports tier, that 21 Comcast would not incur fees for providing

that distribution to those subscribers beyond

1 Q Okay, you were in the middle of 2 answering. 3 Α So we pay, Comcast currently pays Tennis Channel about million per year to 4 5 distribute the network to a little over 6 . Were any subscribers 7 over the million, what that free meant was 8 we shouldn't be charged for it. We'll continue to pay the million with the 9 10 annual price increases that built into the existing agreement, but any additional 11 distributions would be free. 12 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: Free to? THE WITNESS: Comcast would not be 14 15 charged per subscriber fees for any subscribers who receive the network over the 16 17 million. 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: That would be 19 during the term of the contract or for that 20 particular year? 21 THE WITNESS: I would argue for 22 the term of the contact.

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that what this -

2 - well, that's to argue. It doesn't

3 | necessarily mean what it's going to be.

THE WITNESS: It would be a compelling business proposition to incentivize me to carry it outside of this Board which is where I think it should be today.

BY MR. CARROLL:

Q Let me just follow up on this now.

Is it, in terms of industry practice, when channels want to get broader distribution, is it unusual to have an arrangement of the sort you just described?

A It is not.

Q Explain that.

A So in a scenario where the operator is not feeling pressure because of consumer demand to provide additional distribution, the ways that networks get additional distribution is to offer extended free periods, often in combination with launch support which means financial incentives to do

it which can be structured as large

advertising commitments where the network

would buy advertising from the cable operator.

It could be a payment per additional

subscriber that the network would pay to the

cable operator for providing that additional

distribution. That's a very common practice.

Q Okay, and common -- you say it's common. What's your basis for that? How do you know that's a common practice?

A I've negotiated similar types of agreements, but you know, for example, that's how Golf and Versus got a lot of their initial distribution.

Q Okay.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I hope I am going to see this in the proposed findings. I'm not trying to add on to anything, but this is -I mean obviously, the business dynamics is really quite intense and to get an understanding of it

22 | --

BY MR. PHILLIPS

•4

Q Just a few questions. Mr. Rigdon, at the time that The Golf Channel ran that call and you got all the emails, by the way, first place, did you keep a running number, a count, did you have a report on how many calls and emails you got?

A I stopped counting on the emails when it got above 200. On the phone calls, we had regular check ins with our field operations and we got regular tallies.

Q And do you recall what that regular tally was?

A I remember they were well over 100,000 and that was in the space of I believe like 48 to 72 hours.

Q Did you suspect that at the time those were running that Comcast had had anything to do with orchestrating that mail and those calls?

A You know, one has to be paranoid in negotiations and I did suspect that the